

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,775	MURAI, HIDESHI	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Fred A. Casca (Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) John R. Lastova (Reg. No. 33,149). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2006 **Time:** 2 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 16, 17, 21, and 22.

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 16, 17, 21, and 22.

Prior art documents discussed:

Skilemark (US 2005/0111408 A1), and Takeo (US Patent No. 6385183 (B1).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

As set forth in the office action, examiner's amendments were discussed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)